



THE CITY OF LYNCHBURG, VIRGINIA

City Hall, 900 Church Street, Lynchburg, Virginia 24504 • (434) 455-3900

FAX • (434) 845-7630

Historic Preservation Commission

September 3, 2014

TO: Historic Preservation Commission
FROM: Kevin T. Henry, Secretary
SUBJECT: Special Meeting, Wednesday, September 3, 2014 at 9:00 a.m., in the 2nd Floor Conference Room
City Hall, 900 Church Street

The following items will be addressed:

Action Agenda (Summary included)

- (1) **ACTION:** Request of Blair Smith, on behalf of Randolph College for a COA to alter buildings at 2500 Rivermont Avenue. (Tabled)
- (2) **ACTION:** Request of Mark Little for a COA to install a parapet wall, replacement windows, replacement fencing, and modified gate at 3021 Rivermont Avenue. (Tabled)

Old/New Business

Next Meeting Date: September 15, 2014

pc: Michael H. Bedsworth
Frances Calhoun
Robin Craig
Walter C. Erwin
Doug Saunders
Blair Smith

Joann Martin
Tom Martin
L. Kimball Payne, III
Bonnie Svrcek
Sally Schneider
Mark Little

Steve Smallshaw
Bonnie Svrcek
The News & Advance
Rob Fowler
Patrick Gatti

“Ladies and Gentlemen:

Historic preservation is a public purpose established under Virginia law and the City of Lynchburg’s Zoning Ordinance. The Historic Preservation Commission, or HPC, is appointed by City Council to conduct reviews in accordance with established design guidelines. The HPC’s focus is the exterior of properties and the development and maintenance of appropriate settings and environments in designated historic districts.

Alternately, if a property is eligible for historic designation but not yet designated, when Federal funds are involved in the acquisition or alteration of the property, the HPC is charged with reviewing the work to be done using modified criteria. The criteria may be different than that used in designated historic districts.

In consideration of succeeding generations, the oversight entrusted to the HPC is intended to preserve historic places and their contribution to the culture of our community. Each application heard today is considered on its own merit and is not considered as establishing a precedent for any other application.”